

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 TAIPEI 00140 01 OF 03 101049Z
ACTION HA-05

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 VOE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 SY-05 IO-14
/025 W

-----104836 101054Z /10

O P 100500Z JAN 78
FM AMEMBASSY TAIPEI
TO AMCONSUL HONG KONG IMMEDIATE
INFO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6027
INSCO WASHDC PRIORITY

UNCLAS SECTION 1 OF 3 TAIPEI 0140

FOR INS DIDIR FELDMAN

INS/CO FOR CODEP (COEXM)

E.O. 11652: N/A
TAGS: SREF
SUBJECT: IPP-77 SUBVERSIVE ALLEGATIONS

REF : HONG KONG 0002, 77 TAIPEI 7719

1. SUMMARY. IN ACCORDANCE WITH INS HONG KONG REQUEST, CONOFF TRAVELLED TO REFUGEE CAMP AND CONDUCTED INDIVIDUAL INTERVIEWS WITH EACH HEAD OF FAMILY OF THE REMAINING 50 INDOCHINESE REFUGEES. IT APPEARS THAT THE SUBVERSIVE ALLEGATIONS WERE MADE BY ONE FAMILY GROUP AS A RESULT OF A DISPUTE WITHIN THE CAMP WITH ANOTHER LARGE FAMILY UNIT. AFTER EXTENSIVE INTERROGATION CONOFF REACHED CONCLUSION THAT THE ALLEGATIONS WERE MADE BASED ON ALLEGED HEARSAY WITHIN THE CAMP. THE PERSONS WHO SUBMITTED THE REPORTS TO THE EMBASSY WERE UNABLE TO SUBSTANTIATE THEIR ACCUSATIONS SINCE THEY NEVER MET THE ALLEGED VIET CONG AGENTS BEFORE ARRIVING IN TAIWAN IN A SEPARATE BOAT 15 DAYS AFTER THE ALLEGED SUBVERSIVE AGENTS IN MARCH 1977. CONOFF CONSIDERS ALLEGATIONS TO BE MEANINGLESS, UNFOUNDED SLANDER PERPETUATED BY VINDICTIVE
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 TAIPEI 00140 01 OF 03 101049Z

REFUGEES WHO HOPED TO EXPEDITE FAMILY ENTRANCE INTO U.S. AHEAD OF OTHER REFUGEES IN THE CAMP WHERE WERE CONSIDERED TO BE INFERIOR BECAUSE OF VARIOUS SOCIAL PREJUDICES. END SUMMARY.

2. BACKGROUND: TWO SEPARATE BOATLOADS OF VIETNAMESE REFUGEES ARRIVED IN TAIWAN, ROC FROM VIETNAM IN MARCH 1977. THE FIRST GROUP CONSISTING OF 37 REFUGEES ARRIVED IN TAIWAN ON

MARCH 13, 1977. OF THE 37 ABOARD THE VESSEL, 24 WERE RELATED TO THE LEADER OF GROUP, MR. HO NHU VAN, A FISHERMAN FROM DA NANG PROVINCE. THE SECOND BOATLOAD OF REFUGEES ARRIVED IN TAIWAN ON MARCH 29, 1977. THE LATTER BOAT HELD 20 REFUGEES OF WHICH 10 WERE RELATED TO MR. TRAN TANH, A FORMER SOUTH VIETNAMESE AIR FORCE SERGEANT MAJOR.

3. IN JUNE 1977 GROC GRANTED FIRST ASYLUM TO THE ENTIRE GROUP; THEY WERE THEN TRANSFERRED TO THE PRESENT REFUGEE CAMP AT PENGHU, TAIWAN. BIO DATA AND VISA FALCONS WERE OBTAINED FOR BOTH GROUPS AND THEY AWAITED POSSIBLE U.S. PAROLE ACCEPTANCE.

4. IN AUGUST 1977 THREE ADDITIONAL REFUGEES ARRIVED BY BOAT AND WERE ALSO SENT TO THE REFUGEE CAMP TO AWAIT POSSIBLE U.S. PAROLES. IN EARLY OCTOBER GROC ACCEPTED 11 REFUGEES FROM THIS GROUP FOR RESETTLEMENT IN TAIWAN BECAUSE THEY WERE CONSIDERED TO BE OVERSEAS CHINESE. TEN OF THE OVERSEAS CHINESE ARRIVED IN TAIWAN ON MARCH 29TH WITH MR. TRAN TANH. THIS LEFT 11 MEMBERS OF THE TRAN FAMILY (TRAN'S WIFE AND 6 CHILDREN; HIS BROTHER, SISTER-IN-LAW AND ONE CHILD; ANOTHER BABY WAS BORN IN MAY 1977) AS THE ONLY MARCH 29TH BOAT CASE ARRIVALS RESIDING IN THE CAMP.

5. IN LATER PART OF OCTOBER INS/HK OFFICER AND CONOFF INTERVIEWED REMAINING REFUGEES IN THE CAMP. SWORN U.S. ADMISSIBILITY AND ASSETS STATEMENTS WERE TAKEN AT THAT TIME
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 TAIPEI 00140 01 OF 03 101049Z

FROM EACH ADULT REFUGEE. INS OFFICER THEN APPROVED ENTIRE GROUP FOR U.S. ACCEPTANCE UNDER IPP-77.

6. THE WIFE OF MR. TRAN TANH, MRS. VU TAN THUY, ASSISTED INS AND CONOFF DURING OCTOBER INTERVIEWS SINCE SHE SPOKE FAIR ENGLISH AND HAD BEEN UNOFFICIALLY DESIGNATED AS SPOKESPERSON FOR THE GROUP. AFTER INTERVIEWS WERE COMPLETED AND REFUGEES ADVISED THAT ALL HAD BEEN APPROVED FOR U.S. ACCEPTANCE, MRS. VU PRIVATELY ASKED CONOFF IF HER FAMILY COULD BE SENT TO THE U.S. BEFORE THE OTHER REFUGEES. WHEN QUERIED AS TO WHY THE TRAN FAMILY SHOULD GO TO THE U.S. BEFORE ANY OF THE OTHER REFUGEES, SHE REPLIED THAT THE OTHERS WERE UNEDUCATED AND DID NOT SPEAK ENGLISH WHEREAS SHE HAD FORMERLY WORKED WITH USG IN VIETNAM, SPOKE ENGLISH AND HAD BEEN OF ASSISTANCE TO THE USG ACTING AS INTERPRETER AND ATTEMPTING TO TEACH ENGLISH TO THE REFUGEES IN THE CAMP. SHE WAS ADVISED AT THAT TIME THAT ALL REFUGEES WOULD BE ACCEPTED INTO THE U.S. WHEN SPONSORSHIP WAS LOCATED BY U.S. VOLUNTARY AGENCIES AND THAT NO SPECIAL PRIORITY ORDER OF PREFERENCE WOULD BE CONSIDERED.

7. IN NOVEMBER SPONSORSHIP WAS RECEIVED FOR THREE INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF THE REFUGEE COMMUNITY. TWO WERE SPONSORED FROM

THE BOAT GROUP OF 37 THAT ARRIVED IN TAIWAN ON MARCH 13TH;
THE OTHER SPONSORED REFUGEE ARRIVED WITH THE GROUP OF THREE
IN AUGUST. ONE OF THE SPONSORED REFUGEES IS STILL ON MEDICAL
HOLD AND THE OTHER TWO DEPARTED FOR U.S. AT END OF NOVEMBER.

8. THE TRAN FAMILY HAS BEEN EXTREMELY UNHAPPY SINCE THE
DEPARTURE OF TWO REFUGEES FROM THE OTHER BOATS. THEY HAVE
EXPRESSED THEIR DISPLEASURE WITHIN THE CAMP IN VARIOUS WAYS
SOME OF WHICH BECAME ANNOYING TO THE OTHER REFUGEES IN THE
CAMP. MRS. VU REFUSED TO CONTINUE WITH ENGLISH LESSONS, AND
THE TRAN FAMILY APPARENTLY TRIES TO DISASSOCIATED THEMSELVES
FROM THE REST OF THE GROUP. MRS. VU EVEN WROTE TO CONOFF
REQUESTING THAT HER HUSBAND AND CHILDREN BE RESETTLED IN U.S.
SEPARATELY FROM HER BROTHER-IN-LAW FAMILY BECAUSE THEY DID NOT
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 TAIPEI 00140 01 OF 03 101049Z

GET ALONG.

9. IN DECEMBER A FIGHT TOOK PLACE BETWEEN TRAN TANH AND
ANOTHER REFUGEE, LE VIET HUNG. DURING SEPARATE INDIVIDUAL
INTERVIEWS CONOFF DETERMINED THAT FIGHT WAS STARTED BY TRAN
TANH. OTHER REFUGEES IN CAMP CLAIMED TO HAVE TRIED TO BREAK
UP THE FIGHT BUT WERE IMPEDED BY TRAN VAN MAI (TRAN TANH'S
BROTHER). THIS RESULTED IN SEVERAL REFUGEES BECOMING INVOLVED
IN THE INCIDENT BEFORE ROC AUTHORITIES ARRIVED TO QUELL THE
DISTURBANCE.

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 TAIPEI 00140 02 OF 03 101106Z
ACTION HA-05

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 VOE-00 IO-14 CIAE-00 DODE-00 SY-05
/025 W

-----104947 101108Z /10

O P 100500Z JAN 78
FM AMEMBASSY TAIPEI
TO AMCONSUL HONG KONG IMMEDIATE
INFO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6028
ICSCO WASHDC PRIORITY

UNCLAS SECTION 2 OF 3 TAIPEI 0140

10. ALLEGATIONS: SHORTLY AFTER THE FIGHT TOOK PLACE MRS. VU SENT A LETTER TO CONOFF STATING THAT HER BROTHER-IN-LAW WAS GOING TO SEND INFORMATION ABOUT THE GROUP OF 37 WHICH WOULD BE OF INTEREST TO U.S. SECURITY AND WOULD REQUIRE INVESTIGATION. SHE NAMED SEVEN REFUGEES FROM THE CAMP WHO SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED FOR U.S. PAROLE ACCEPTANCE (HO VAN NHU, HO HAI NUNG, HO THI HOT, LE VIET CUONG, LE HONG ANH, LE VIET HUNG AND NGUYEN VAN LAI). SHE DID NOT MENTION THE INCIDENT INVOLVING HER HUSBAND AND BROTHER-IN-LAW.

11. TRAN VAN MAI'S LETTER ARRIVED A FEW DAYS AFTER HIS SISTER-IN-LAW'S INITIAL NOTIFICATION THAT SOME PROBLEMS EXISTED WITHIN THE CAMP. TRAN VAN MAI EXPLAINED THAT HE WAS FORMERLY A POLICEMAN IN VIETNAM AND AN OPPONENT OF COMMUNISM. HE STATED THAT HE HEARD PEOPLE FROM THE BOAT OF 37 TALKING ABOUT VARIOUS MEMBERS OF THEIR GROUP BEING VIET CONG AGENTS. HE MENTIONED THAT HO VAN NHU, LEADER OF BOAT OF 37, WAS USED BY VIET CONG TO CONVINCE INDIVIDUALS INTENDING TO ESCAPE FROM VIETNAM TO DEAL WITH HIM BECAUSE HIS BOAT WAS AVAILABLE FOR ESCAPE PURPOSES. HO VAN NHU ALLEGEDLY WOULD ACCEPT GOLD FROM INTENDED ESCAPEES AND THEN TURN THEM AND THE GOLD INTO THE VIET CONG. ALLEGEDLY, COMMUNIST AGENTS DISCOVERED THAT HO VAN NHU THEN SUPPOSEDLY CONVINCED ONE LAST GROUP OF PEOPLE TO ESCAPE WITH HIM CHARGING THEM 80 OUNCES OF GOLD WITH 20 OUNCES UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 TAIPEI 00140 02 OF 03 101106Z

PAYABLE IN ADVANCE. SUPPOSEDLY, MR. HO'S SCHEME BECAME KNOWN TO THE LATEST GROUP OF INTENDED ESCAPEES AND HE WAS OBLIGED TO ENLIST THE HELP OF NGUYEN VAN LAI (BOAT OF 37 REFUGEES ALSO ALLEGED TO BE A VIET CONG AGENT) TO STEAL ANOTHER BOAT IN WHICH THEY SUBSEQUENTLY ESCAPED FROM VIETNAM. MR. TRAN VAN MAI FURTHER ALLEGES THAT HE AGAIN HEARD THAT HO VAN NHU AND LE VIET VUONG HAD QUARRELED ABOARD THE BOAT IN FRONT OF OTHER REFUGEES. LE VIET CUONG ALLEGEDLY ACCUSED HO VAN NHU OF BEING A VIET CONG AGENT BECAUSE HO VAN NHU HAD REFUSED TO SPLIT SOME OF THE GOLD. TRAN VAN MAI FURTHER CLAIMED TO HAVE BEEN TOLD BY HO HAI HUNG (BROTHER OF HO VAN NHU) THAT HO VAN NHU HAD ONCE USED THE NAME LE HONG ANH IN VIETNAM BEFORE THE COMMUNIST TAKEOVER. HO HAI HUNG SUPPOSEDLY ACCUSED HIS BROTHER HO VAN NHU OF BEING A THIEF AND MURDERER WHO WAS WANTED BY THE POLICE OF THEIR VILLAGE. (WHEN INTERVIEWED HO HAI HUNG DENIED EVER ACCUSING ANYONE OF COMMITTING CRIMES IN VIETNAM.) TRAN VAN MAI CLAIMED THAT HE WAS REPORTING THE ABOVE INFORMATION TO CONOFF BECAUSE HE WAS CERTAIN THAT THESE RASCALS INTENDED TO SPOIL SOCIAL SECURITY IN THE U.S. AFTER THEIR ARRIVAL. HE DID NOT MENTION THE FIGHT IN HIS LETTER.

12. INTERROGATION: CONOFF INTERVIEWED EACH OF THE ALLEGED

VIET CONG AGENTS SEPARATELY. IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THEY WERE ALL FISHERMEN, EXCEPT HO THI HOT, WHO IS WIDOWED SISTER OF HO VAN NHU. THE MAJORITY ARE BASICALLY ILLITERATE WITH LITTLE OR NO UNDERSTANDING OF POLITICAL IDEOLOGIES. THE ACCUSED ARE EITHER BLOOD RELATIVES OR HAVE KNOWN EACH OTHER FOR MANY YEARS. ALL DENIED ANY VIET CONG AFFILIATION. THERE IS NO GOLD, OTHER THAN A FEW SMALL RINGS, IN EVIDENCE. NO ONE ADMITTED HAVING KNOWLEDGE OF ANYONE IN EITHER REFUGEE GROUP HAVING BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH COMMUNISTS. WHEN QUERIED CONCERNING THE FIGHT, EACH OF THE ACCUSED V.C. GAVE SIMILAR VERSIONS IN SEPARATE INTERVIEWS OF WHAT TOOK PLACE.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 TAIPEI 00140 02 OF 03 101106Z

13. LE VIET HUNG, ORIGINAL PARTICIPANT IN THE FIGHT, ADMITTED QUARRELLING WITH TRAN TANH DURING A TABLE TENNIS GAME. LE VIET HUNG CLAIMED THAT MRS. VU APPROACHED HIM AFTER THE TABLE TENNIS MATCH AND WANTED TO KNOW WHY HE QUARRELED WITH HER HUSBAND. LE CLAIMED THAT WHILE HE WAS TALKING WITH MRS. VU HE WAS STRUCK BY TRAN TANH, A FACT VERIFIED BY OTHER MEMBERS OF THE REFUGEE GROUP (NOT ACCUSED AS V.C. BUT INTERVIEWED SEPARATELY TO ATTEMPT TO ESTABLISH FACTS). LE VIET HUNG HAPPENS TO BE THE THIRD REFUGEE WHO HAS RECEIVED SPONSORSHIP ASSURANCE BUT IS AWAITING MEDICAL CLEARANCE (2ND STAGE VD).

14. TRAN VAN MAI, TRAN TANH AND HIS WIFE, VU TAN THUY, WERE SEPARATELY INTERVIEWED CONCERNING THEIR ALLEGATIONS AGAINST INDIVIDUALS OF BOAT GROUP OF 37. THEY ADMITTED THAT THEIR ALLEGATIONS WERE BASED ON HEARSAY BUT WERE UNABLE TO SAY EXACTLY FROM WHICH OF THE REFUGEES THEY HEARD THE INFORMATION; CLAIMED IT WAS MERELY CAMP TALK THAT WAS OVERHEARD AND THEY WERE UNCERTAIN WHO MIGHT HAVE SAID SUCH THINGS. HOWEVER, THEY INSISTED THAT THEY WERE CERTAIN THAT WHAT THEY OVERHEARD MUST HAVE BEEN TRUE BECAUSE THE INDIVIDUALS FROM THE OTHER BOAT WERE OBVIOUSLY BAD PEOPLE. MRS. VU EXPRESSED FEAR FOR HER FAMILY'S SAFETY CLAIMING THAT REPRISALS WOULD BE TAKEN AGAINST THEM ONCE CONOFF DEPARTED CAMP. THIS WAS TOLD TO CONOFF IN PRESENCE OF ROC FOREIGN AFFAIRS POLICE OFFICIAL WHO IMMEDIATELY ASKED MRS. VU WHY SHE HAD NOT EXPRESSED SUCH FEARS TO POLICE OFFICERS STATIONED AT THE CAMP. TO THIS MRS. VU REPLIED THAT SHE DID NOT THINK THAT ROC POLICE WOULD BE ABLE TO PROTECT HER FAMILY; SHE PREFERRED TO MOVE AWAY FROM THE OTHER GROUP PREFERABLY TO U.S. AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. ROC POLICE OFFICIAL ASSURED HER THAT THERE WAS NO POSSIBILITY OF ANYONE HARMING HER FAMILY SINCE THEY ARE QUARTERED WITHIN A MILITARY COMPOUND WITH ARMED SOLDIERS AND POLICE SURROUNDING THE CAMP AND RESIDING INSIDE. TRAN TANH CLAIMED THAT HE QUARRELED WITH LE VIET HUNG ON THE DAY OF THE FIGHT BUT COULD NOT REMEMBER EXACTLY WHAT THE ARGUMENT WAS ABOUT; HE DENIED STARTING THE

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 TAIPEI 00140 02 OF 03 101106Z

FIGHT AND CLAIMED THAT FIGHT PROBABLY STARTED BECAUSE MOST OF THE OTHER GROUP DID NOT LIKE HIS FAMILY SINCE THEY KNEW THAT HIS WIFE OFTEN WROTE TO CONOFF. TRAN'S BROTHER, TRAN VAN MAI, STATED THAT HE SENT LETTER ACCUSING CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS OF VC ACTIVITY BECAUSE HE HAD HEARD RUMORS TO THAT EFFECT ON VARIOUS OCCASIONS IN THE CAMP. TRAN VAN MAI CLAIMS THAT HE TOLD HIS BROTHER AND SISTER-IN-LAW ABOUT THE RUMORS AND THEY ADVISED HIM TO WRITE LETTER TO CONOFF EXPLAINING RUMORS. ALL THREE MEMBERS OF TRAN FAMILY ADMITTED THAT THEY HAD NEVER SEEN OR HEARD OF ANY MEMBERS FROM THE OTHER BOAT BEFORE ARRIVING IN TAIWAN.

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 TAIPEI 00140 03 OF 03 101112Z

ACTION HA-05

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 VOE-00 IO-14 CIAE-00 DODE-00 SY-05
/025 W

-----104990 101113Z /23

O P 100500Z JAN 78

FM AMEMBASSY TAIPEI

TO AMCONSUL HONG KONG IMMEDIATE

INFO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6029

INSCO WASHDC PRIORITY

UNCLAS SECTION 3 OF 3 TAIPEI 0140

15. THE CAMP MANAGER (SEPARATELY HIRED AND NOT BOAT CASE REFUGEE) WAS INTERVIEWED CONCERNING HIS VIEWS OF THE ENTIRE SITUATION. HE STATED THAT HE WAS UNAWARE OF ANY RUMORS CONCERNING COMMUNIST AFFILIATIONS AMONG REFUGEES. CAMP MANAGER RESIDES WITH THE REFUGEES IN THE CAMP, SPEAKS VIETNAMESE AND CHINESE AND IS THE DIRECT LIAISON BETWEEN REFUGEES AND GROC AUTHORITIES.

16. CONCLUSION: NATURALLY, IT IS QUITE POSSIBLE FOR AGENTS TO BE PRESENT AMONG ANY BOAT CASE ARRIVALS, HOWEVER, INTERVIEWING CONOFF BELIEVES THAT STRONGER EVIDENCE THAN UNSUBSTANTIATED HEARSAY ALLEGATIONS SHOULD BE PRESENTED BEFORE AN INDIVIDUAL

SHOULD BE CONSIDERED GUILTY OF SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITY. CONOFF IS, THEREFORE, RELUCTANT TO ACCEPT STORIES OF TRAN FAMILY AS BASIS TO CONSIDER MEMBERS OF THE ACCUSED GROUP AS SUSPECTED SUBVERSIVE AGENTS.

17. ACTION REQUESTED: SPONSORSHIP ASSURANCE HAS BEEN RECEIVED AND TRANSPORTATION ARRANGEMENTS MADE THROUGH ICEM FOR SIX FAMILY UNITS (18 REFUGEES) TO TRAVEL TO U.S. O/A JANUARY 17TH. FOUR OF THE FAMILY UNITS ARE AMONG THE ACCUSED SUBVERSIVES. IT WOULD, THEREFORE, BE APPRECIATED IF INS/HK DIDIR WOULD ADVISE ASAP IF APPROVAL CAN BE REINSTATED TO ALLOW THESE FAMILIES TO ACCEPT U.S. PAROLES AND TRAVEL IN ACCORDANCE WITH ICEM
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 TAIPEI 00140 03 OF 03 101112Z

PREARRANGED SCHEDULE.
SULLIVAN

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: REFUGEE CAMPS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 10 jan 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 jan 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978TAIPEI00140
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780014-0613
Format: TEL
From: TAIPEI
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780179/aaaacoor.tel
Line Count: 352
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: b19beae4-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION HA
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 7
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 78 HONG KONG 2, 77 TAIPEI 7719
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 23 feb 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 3797209
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: IPP-77 SUBVERSIVE ALLEGATIONS
TAGS: SREF, TW
To: HONG KONG
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/b19beae4-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014